

Bio-orthogonal Phosphatidylserine Conjugates for Delivery and Imaging Applications

Andrew J. Lampkins, Edward J. O'Neil, and Bradley D. Smith*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Walther Cancer Research Center, 251 Nieuwland Science Hall, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

smith.115@nd.edu

Received May 23, 2008

$$X = NH_2, SH, C \equiv CH$$

$$Y = Cargo$$

$$Y = C$$

The syntheses of phosphatidylserine (PS) conjugates are described, including fluorescent derivatives for potential cellular delivery and bioimaging applications. Installation of terminal functional groups (amine, thiol, or alkyne) onto the sn-2 chain provides reactive sites for bio-orthogonal conjugation of cargo with suitably protected PS derivatives. An amine-containing PS forms amide bonds with peptidic cargo, a thiol derivative is designed for conjugation to cargo that contain α -halo carbonyls or Michael acceptors, and the terminal alkyne PS analogue permits "click" conjugation with any azide-tagged molecule. This latter conjugation method is quite versatile as it can be performed without PS headgroup protection, in aqueous media, and with acid-labile cargo.

Introduction

Phosphatidylserine (PS) is emerging as a biologically important phospholipid that is associated with a wide range of cell signaling events. There is good evidence that the plasma membranes of most mammalian cells contain an active transport system that pumps PS to the inner membrane surface. There is also strong evidence that macrophages can engulf cells, lipososomes, and viruses that have surface-exposed PS. We wish to determine if these endogenous PS transport systems can deliver PS conjugates into cells, and whether they can be used for delivery and imaging applications in the same way

that vitamin receptors are used to deliver conjugates of folate, B12, biotin, etc.⁶

This report describes our entry into this project, that is, the preparation of appropriate PS conjugates for cell delivery experiments. We decided that the cargo should not be attached to the PS headgroup because this will likely interfere with the molecular recognition, and that a more prudent point of attachment was the terminus of one of the phospholipid acyl chains. Therefore, the synthetic challenge was to devise mild and general methods of attaching various types of molecular cargo to the end of a PS acyl chain. The methods had to be compatible with the PS headgroup, which contains both nucleophilic amine and electrophilic carboxyl sites.

^{(1) (}a) Vance, J. E.; Steenbergen, R. *Prog. Lipid Res.* **2005**, *44*, 207–234. (b) Weihua, Z.; Rsan, R.; Schroit, A. J.; Fidler, I. J. *Cancer Res.* **2005**, *65*, 11529–11535. (c) Yeung, T.; Gilbert, G. E.; Shi, J.; Silvius, J.; Kapus, A.; Grinstein, S. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 210–213. (d) Zwaal, R. R. A.; Comfurius, P.; Bevers, E. M. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2005**, *62*, 971–988.

^{(2) (}a) Daleke, D. L. J. Lipid Res. 2003, 44, 233–242. (b) Darland-Ransom, M.; Wang, X.; Sun, C. L.; Mapes, J.; Gengyo-Ando, K.; Mitani, S.; Xue, D. Science 2008, 320, 528–531. (c) Chen, C. Y.; Ingram, M. F.; Rosal, P. H.; Graham, T. R. J. Cell Biol. 1999, 147, 1223–1236. (d) Paulusma, C. C.; Elferink, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2005, 1741, 11–24. (e) Daleke, D. L. J. Biol. Chem. 2007, 282, 821–825. (f) Graham, T. R. Trends Cell Biol. 2004, 14, 670–677.

^{(3) (}a) Bratton, D. L.; Henson, P. M. Curr. Biol. **2007**, *18*, R76–R79. (b) Ravichandran, K. S.; Lorenz, U. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **2007**, *7*, 964–974.

^{(4) (}a) Moghimi, S. M.; Hunter, A. C. *Pharm. Res.* **2001**, *18*, 1–8. (b) Otsuka, M.; Negishi, Y.; Aramaki, Y. *FEBS Lett.* **2007**, *581*, 325–330.

⁽⁵⁾ Mercer, J.; Helenius, A. *Science* **2008**, *320*, 531–535.

^{(6) (}a) Lu, Y. J.; Low, P. S. Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 2002, 54, 675–693.
(b) Ojima, I. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 108–119.
(c) Russell-Jones, G.; McTavish, K.; McEwan, J.; Rice, J.; Nowotnik, D. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2004, 98, 1625–1633.

⁽⁷⁾ For examples of PS derivatives with fluorescent labels contained within their sn-2 chains, see: (a) Heikinheimo, L.; Somerharju, P. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2002, 1591, 75–85. (b) Martin, O. C.; Pagano, R. E. Anal. Biochem. 1986, 159, 101–108. (c) Pomorski, T.; Herrmann, A.; Zimmermann, B.; Zachowski, A.; Muller, P. Chem. Phys. Lipids 1995, 77, 139–146. (d) Pomorski, T.; Holthuis, J. C. M.; Herrmann, A.; van Meer, G. J. Cell Sci. 2004, 117, 805–813. (e) Pomorski, T.; Muller, P.; Zimmermann, B.; Burger, K.; Devaux, P. F.; Herrmann, A. J. Cell Sci. 1996, 109, 687–698. (f) Tanhuanpaa, K.; Cheng, K. H.; Anttonen, K.; Virtanen, J. A.; Somerharju, P. Biophys. J. 2001, 81, 1501–1510. (g) Tanhuanpaa, K.; Somerharju, P. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 35359–35366.

⁽⁸⁾ For a discussion of the membrane dynamics of phospholipids with probes in their *sn*-2 chains, see: Devaux, P. F.; Fellmann, P.; Herve, P. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **2002**, *116*, 115–134.

$$X = (CH_2)_{10}NH_2 \text{ (PS-amine)} \\ X = (CH_2)_{10}SH \text{ (PS-thiol)} \\ X = (CH_2)_{10}SH \text{ (PS-thiol)} \\ X = (CH_2)_{10}SH \text{ (PS-alkyne)}$$

$$Cargo = dyes, \text{ biotin, peptides, etc.}$$

$$C_{14}H_{29}$$

$$C_{15}H_{10}$$

$$C_{14}H_{29}$$

$$C_{15}H_{10}$$

$$C_{14}H_{29}$$

$$C_{15}H_{10}$$

$$C_{15}H_{10}$$

$$C_{16}H_{10}$$

$$C_{17}H_{19}$$

$$C_{18}H_{19}$$

$$C_{19}H_{10}$$

$$C_{19}H$$

The de novo synthesis of PS analogues has not been investigated in great detail. The few reported routes are lengthy, target-specific, 10 or plagued with stereochemical complications, 11 thus they are unsuited for the rapid preparation of PS scaffolds. Here we describe the preparation of three classes of PS derivatives that are capable of *efficient*, *bio-orthogonal conjugation* to the end of the *sn-2* acyl chain (Scheme 1). First, a primary amine version (PS-amine) allows formation of amide bonds to peptidic cargo. Second, a thiol group (PS-thiol) can be utilized for selective addition to α -halo carbonyls or conjugate addition to Michael acceptors. Finally, a terminal alkyne (PS-alkyne) provides direct access to "click" conjugation with any functionalized azide. The latter two methods exploit orthogonal reactivity patterns, which means that the conjugation can be achieved in the presence of the unprotected PS headgroup.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Protected PS from PC Precursors. The general synthetic strategy is to make the more tractable zwitterionic phosphatidylcholine (PC) precursor and then convert the headgroup to PS. The PC derivatives (**2a**, ¹² **2b**, ¹³ and **2c** ¹⁴) were prepared from readily available lyso-PC (**1**) by reacting the *sn*-2

hydroxyl group with an appropriately functionalized fatty acid. This potentially troublesome acylation was carried out in consistently high yield by using ultrasonication and glass beads according to the procedure of Hajdu (Scheme 2).¹⁴

Initially, we attempted an enzyme-mediated transphosphatidylation of the PC headgroup to the unprotected PS using phospholipase D (PLD) and excess serine. 15 However, in our hands, this approach gave consistently poor yields (0-5%) of desired product even when the most active 16 commercial PLD (obtained from *Streptomyces* sp.) was employed. In most cases, the corresponding phosphatidic acid (PA) hydrolysis products (3a−c) were obtained in very high yield. Therefore, we decided to take advantage of this outcome and synthetically convert 3a-c into the doubly protected PS derivatives 4a-c, which can be subsequently deprotected using mild acid (vide infra). This synthetic route is slightly longer, but it has several important advantages. Compared to unprotected PS derivatives, which aggregate extensively in organic solvents, 11b,16 the protected PS compounds (4a-c) are much easier to purify and characterize by NMR spectroscopy.

Conjugation Reactions with PS-amine. The PS-amine precursor **4c** has two orthogonally protected amines, and selective deprotection of the *N*-Fmoc amine allows for coupling with amine-reactive cargo (i.e., polypeptides, activated esters, acid chlorides, etc.). The utility of this methodology is show-

^{(9) (}a) Kazi, A. B.; Hajdu, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 2291–2294. (b) Qiu, X. X.; Ong, S. W.; Bernal, C.; Rhee, D.; Pidgeon, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 537–543.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Kazi, A. B.; Shidmand, S.; Hajdu, J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 9337–9347.

^{(11) (}a) Delfino, J. M.; Stankovic, C. J.; Schreiber, S. L.; Richards, F. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 2323–2326. (b) Loffredo, W. M.; Tsai, M. D. *Bioorg. Chem.* **1990**, 18, 78–84.

⁽¹²⁾ O'Neil, E. J.; DiVittorio, K. M.; Smith, B. D. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 199-202.

⁽¹³⁾ Halter, M.; Nogata, Y.; Dannenberger, O.; Sasaki, T.; Vogel, V. *Langmuir* **2004**, 20, 2416–2423.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Rosseto, R.; Hajdu, J. Tetrahedron Lett. **2005**, 46, 2941–2944.

⁽¹⁵⁾ For selected examples of transphosphatidylation of PC substrates to their PS analogues using PLD, see: (a) Brachwitz, H.; Olke, M.; Bergmann, J.; Langen, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1997, 7, 1739–1742. (b) Comfurius, P.; Zwaal, R. F. A. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1977, 488, 36–42. (c) Diaz, C.; Balasubramanian, K.; Schroit, A. J. Bioconjugate Chem. 1998, 9, 250–254. (d) Picq, M.; Huang, Y.; Lagarde, M.; Doutheau, A.; Nemoz, G. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 1678–1685. (e) Wang, P.; Schuster, M.; Wang, Y. F.; Wong, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10487–10491.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Banerjee, M.; Drummond, D. C.; Srivastava, A.; Daleke, D.; Lentz, B. R. Biochemistry 2002, 41, 7751–7762.

SCHEME 4

cased by the preparation of the biotin—PS conjugate 6 (Scheme 3). Treatment of 4c with DBU selectively liberates the terminal primary amine of the *sn*-2 acyl chain allowing for in situ amide bond conjugation to afford protected biotin conjugate 5. Subsequent headgroup deprotection with TFA cleanly gives the desired PS derivative 6 as a single TLC spot that is stained by both Dittmer's¹⁷ and ninhydrin reagents (indicating the presence of phosphate and primary amine, respectively). Although straightforward, this amide conjugation protocol has several potential limitations. First, a reactive acylating agent is required for conjugation. Thus, problems in chemoselectivity may result when polyfunctionalized substrates are used. Also, this method requires TFA deprotection of the PS headgroup *after* cargo attachment to the lipid scaffold. Therefore, this conjugation method is not suitable for acid-labile cargo.

Conjugation Reactions with PS-thiol. The PS-thiol precursor **4b** was prepared and used to react with thiol-active groups. Examples of electrophilic functional groups that react preferentially with thiols (even in the presence of free amines and carboxylates) include α -halo carbonyls and maleimides, both of which are commonly found in commercially available bioconjugation precursors. Scheme 4 illustrates a two-step, one-pot thiol conjugation protocol. Treatment of PS-thiol precursor **4b** with TFA, in the presence of $(i\text{-Pr})_3\text{SiH}$, affords the globally deprotected PS-thiol intermediate, which is *immediately* reacted with either α -bromoacetophenone or *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM) to afford conjugate **7** or **8**, respectively. Both targets were

prepared in moderate yield, and ultrasonication was necessary for optimal reactivity, as this apparently deaggregates the deprotected PS derivatives. Of note, the freshly generated PSthiol loses its reactivity upon chromatographic purification or prolonged storage. Thus, it should be used immediately in situ after generation.

Conjugation Reactions with PS-alkyne. PS-alkyne derivative **4a** was specifically constructed as a substrate for the well-known Cu(I)-catalyzed cycloaddition reaction with suitably functionalized azides. ¹⁸ This method of click bioconjugation is attractive because it is completely atom economical, tolerant of virtually all other chemical functionality, and compatible with aqueous reaction conditions.

Shown in Scheme 5 are the straightforward syntheses of several examples of azide-tagged cargo. Azides 11, 12, 19 and 13²⁰ all react smoothly with PS-alkyne precursor 4a to give the corresponding nitrobenzofurazan- (NBD) (24), biotin- (23), and pyrene-functionalized (22) triazoles in good yields (Scheme 6). This conjugation reaction was optimized by using 50 mol % of CuSO₄, sodium ascorbate, and a biphasic CHCl₃/H₂O

⁽¹⁸⁾ For recent reviews on "click chemistry" and biological applications, see: (a) Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 2004–2021. (b) Tornøe, C. W.; Christensen, C.; Meldal, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 67, 3057–3064. (c) Bock, V. D.; Hiemstra, H.; van Maarseveen, J. H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 5, 1–68. (d) Baskin, J. M.; Bertozzi, C. R. *QSAR Comb. Sci.* **2007**, 26, 1211–1219. (e) Moses, J. E.; Moorhouse, A. D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, 36, 1249–1262. (f) Laughlin, S. T.; Baskin, J. M.; Amacher, S. L.; Bertozzi, C. R. *Science* **2008**, 320, 664–667.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Lin, P. C.; Ueng, S. H.; Tseng, M. C.; Ko, J. L.; Huang, K. T.; Yu, S. C.; Adak, A. K.; Chen, Y. J.; Lin, C. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4286–4290.

solvent system. The products were isolated in high purity by simple liquid extraction followed by flash chromatography. Removal of the acid-labile protecting groups with TFA cleanly affords the corresponding PS conjugates (25, 26, and 27) in high yield.

We envision that, in some cases, the conjugated molecular cargo may be sensitive to the acidic conditions required for PS headgroup deprotection, so we evaluated the click conjugation chemistry with the deprotected PS alkyne 28 (Scheme 7). Initially, very low yields (<5%) were obtained when the

ÓΘ

25, 92% 26, 78% 27, 83%

previously described click conditions were employed. However, consistently higher product yields (45–90%) were obtained when the reactions were carried out with ultrasonication. In fact, 25, 26, and 27 were also prepared directly from 28 using this methodology in similar yields as reported in Scheme 6. Removal of copper salts from these unprotected PS reaction products is necessary, 21 in contrast to their protected derivatives, and is efficiently accomplished by treatment of the crude reaction mixtures with silica—thiol resin and simple filtration.

Scheme 7 highlights additional examples that were prepared directly from the deprotected PS-alkyne 28. Ester 29 was the first derivative whose synthesis required this direct methodology. While ester-azide 16 successfully underwent cycyloaddition with protected PS-alkyne precursor 4a (reaction not shown, 81% yield), subsequent TFA-mediated PS headgroup deprotection resulted in ester cleavage,²² rendering 29 a previously unattainable target. Labile amino acid derivative 21 was also directly "clicked" with PS scaffold 28 resulting in D-ala-D-ala PS conjugate 30, demonstrating that this method is ideal for acidsensitive cargo. A final example is the production of fluorescent coumarin conjugate 32, which is suitable for microscopic imaging studies. In summary, direct click conjugation is a robust, bio-orthogonal method for preparing PS conjugates from potentially any azide-functionalized cargo, including molecules that are acid-labile.

Conclusions

Synthetic PS precursors with reactive amine, thiol, and alkyne groups at the end of the *sn*-2 acyl chain are prepared and conjugated with a wide variety of cargo molecules. Direct reaction of alkyne **28** with azide-functionalized cargo is the broadest in scope and most applicable to widespread production of PS conjugates, although all of the conjugation methods have utility depending on the exact conditions. The products of this versatile and mild synthetic chemistry allow us to broadly investigate PS-mediated cellular delivery, and the results will be presented in due course.

Experimental Section

Each general method of PS conjugation is illustrated below with one example. Detailed experimental procedures and spectral data for all products are provided in the Supporting Information along with chemical structures showing the countercation for each anionic phospholipid.

General Procedure for PLD Hydrolysis of PC Derivative. Phospholipase D (ca. 0.5 mg, from Streptomyces chromofoscus) was added to a stirring solution of PC 2a (1.52 mmol) in a biphasic buffer/CHCl₃ (140/100 mL) system, and the reaction was allowed to stir overnight at 40 °C. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with 2:1 CHCl₃:MeOH (×3). All organic layers were combined and washed with water (×3), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified using column chromatography (65:25:4 CHCl₃:MeOH:H₂O eluent system) to afford the PA product 3a (91% yield).

General Procedure for the Conjugation of PS-amine with NHS Ester. To a solution of protected amine 4c (0.04 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) was added DBU (0.67 mmol), and the solution was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature. A solution of biotin NHS ester (0.06 mmol, in 10 mL DMF) was then added, and the mixture was allowed to stir for another 16 h. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude residue

⁽²⁰⁾ McMinn, D. L.; Greenberg, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3289–3294.

⁽²¹⁾ The unprotected PS headgroup is known to complex divalent metal cations, especially Cu(II); see: Hanshaw, R. G.; O'Neil, E. J.; Foley, M.; Carpenter, R. T.; Smith, B. D. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2005**, *15*, 2707–2713.

⁽²²⁾ Distal ester cleavage was confirmed by TLC analysis of the reaction mixture after stirring in 1:3 TFA/CH₂Cl₂ for 12 h. Two distinct spots were observed; one was visualized using Dittmer's and ninhydrin stains (signifying the deprotected PS headgroup), and the other was intensely UV-active and matched a co-spot of 1-pyrenemethanol.

was purified using flash chromatography (65:30:5 CHCl₃:MeOH: H₂O eluent system) to give the conjugated amide **5** in 67% yield. The PS headgroup was then deprotected by dissolving in CH₂Cl₂/TFA (2:1) and allowing it to stir at rt for 12 h. The reaction was then concentrated to a crude residue which was taken up in 2:1 CHCl₃:MeOH and washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and water. The organic layer was then concentrated and purified using flash chromatography (65:25:4 CHCl₃:MeOH:H₂O eluent system) to afford the PS—biotin conjugate **6** in 85% yield.

General Procedure for the Conjugation of PS-thiol with Maleimide or α -Halo Ketone. A solution of protected thiol 4b (0.110 mmol) and (i-Pr)₃SiH (0.220 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) and TFA (2 mL) was allowed to stir at ambient temperature for 12 h. The reaction was then concentrated to a crude residue which was taken up in 2:1 CHCl₃:MeOH and washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and water. The organic layer was then concentrated to dryness. The residue was taken up in dry CHCl₃ (3 mL), α -bromoacetophenone (0.110 mmol) and Cs₂CO₃ (0.240 mmol) were added, and the resulting suspension was placed in a sealed vial and warmed in an ultrasonicating water bath at 40 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was loaded directly onto a silica column, which was eluted with CHCl₃, then 65:25:4 CHCl₃:MeOH:H₂O to afford the conjugated product 7 in 42% yield.

General Procedure for the Conjugation of PS-alkyne with Functionalized Azide. A mixture of alkyne 28 (0.076 mmol), azide

16 (0.091 mmol), $CuSO_4 \cdot 5$ H_2O (0.038 mmol), and sodium ascorbate (0.076 mmol) in 1:1 CHCl₃/H₂O (10 mL) was placed in a sealed vial and warmed in an ultrasonicating water bath at 40 °C for 3 h. The organic layer was then separated and the aqueous layer extracted with 2:1 CHCl₃:MeOH (×3). All organics were combined, dried over Na_2SO_4 , concentrated, and purified using flash chromatography (0–20% MeOH in CHCl₃ then 65:25:4 CHCl₃:MeOH: H_2O). The fractions that contained product were combined, and thiol-derived silica gel was added followed by vigorous stirring for 30 min. The resin was then removed by suction filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to afford conjugated product 29 in 55% yield.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Walther Cancer Research Center, the National Institutes of Health, and the University of Notre Dame for their generous support.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed experimental procedures, spectral data, copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO8011336